

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,925	01/10/2004	Tien Huang		8358
23616 73	590 02/06/2006		EXAM	INER
LAW OFFICE	ES OF CLEMENT C	GIBSON, ROY DEAN		
	OPE STREET #127		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOUNTAIN V	/ALLEY, CA 92708		3739	
			3.37	

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/754,925 Examiner	HUANG, TIEN Art Unit			
	,		3739			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Roy D. Gibson ears on the cover sheet with the c				
	Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 No	ovember 2005.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-22</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
′=	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-22</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
0)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	/ Cicolion requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
, —	•	ammer. Note the attached Office	7.00.011.01.101111.1.1.0.1.02.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachment	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 15, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There is no support for the use of sodium acetate in solid form used to heat a subject when triggered as claimed.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-9, 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8, in line 2, the recitation is unclear because the acupuncture needle has not been positively recited as an element of the claim. The examiner suggests after "depression", the claim may read - - is adapted to snugly enclose a handle of an acupuncture needle - -;

Art Unit: 3739

In claim 12, in line 4, the recitation is the same as above and the examiner suggests after "depression", the claim may read - - is adapted to enclose - -.

In claim 22, it is unclear if the aqueous or solid form of the sodium acetate is being claimed. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 7, 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Layer et al. (US 2004/0065314).

As to claim 7, Layer et al. disclose a warmer device comprising:

a rigid watertight plastic container (Figures 8-11, # 42) filled with a supersaturated solution of sodium acetate in aqueous form; and

a membrane (Figure 11, # 104) at a first end of the container (p.5, [0064-0065], and p. 6, [0068]).

As to claims 12, 14, 16, 18 and 20, Layer et al. disclose a warmer device comprising:

Art Unit: 3739

a rigid watertight plastic container (Figures 8-11, # 42) filled with a supersaturated solution of sodium acetate in aqueous form;

a hollow depression (Figure 11) at a first end of the container capable of snugly enclosing a handle of an acupuncture needle (based on actual size of each); and a exothermic crystallization trigger (108) located within the container body, triggerable by manual depression, wherein the trigger is a thin metal plate (p. 6, [0068]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 9, 11, 13, 17 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Layer et al. in view of Cheney, III (5,143,048).

Layer et al. fails to disclose the trigger of a thin plate mechanism having slits.

But, Cheney discloses a exothermic warmer or heat pack wherein the trigger is a thin plate mechanism having slits (Figure 2A, # 20 with slits # 26) to permit the crystals (5) to actuate the exothermic reaction when introduced to the aqueous sodium acetate solution (col. 2, lines 19-53). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Layer et al., as taught by Cheney, as a well known alternative equivalent means to activate the crystallization.

Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Milligan et al. (5,275,156) disclose a reusable heat pack which releases heat upon crystallization of sodium acetate tetrahydrate; Yamashita (4,817,704) discloses a latent heat storage apparatus; Toyama (3,875,944) discloses a heated acupuncture needle wherein the heating is via charcoal or moxa; and Chapel (FR 2753896) discloses a heat pack which releases heat upon crystallization of sodium acetate trihydrate.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/754,925 Page 6

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy D. Gibson whose telephone number is 571-272-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Th, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Roy D. Gibson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739

February 1, 2006